

October 29, 2020

Diane Czarnecki Industrial Hygienist Facilities Management Division GSA Public Buildings Service – Heartland Region 2300 Main Street Kansas City, MO 64108

Re: Goodfellow Federal Center

Metals in Settled Dust Sampling – Resampling from September 2020 Event

Project No. 121244

Dear Ms. Czarnecki:

Thank you for the opportunity to assist the General Services Administration (GSA) with the metals in settled dust sampling investigation at the Goodfellow Federal Center (GFC) in St. Louis, Missouri. Burns & McDonnell understands that the purpose of the investigation was to provide additional sampling data of existing environmental conditions that are present at GFC that could adversely impact the health and safety of building occupants as well as workers at the facility. The following report summarizes the sample collection activities and the laboratory analytical results of samples submitted.

INTRODUCTION

Per historical use and previous characterization, Burns & McDonnell was contracted to perform settled dust sampling for the analysis of one (1) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) target metals (lead) from a surface in the complex that exceeded the lead clean area limit during the June and September 2020 sampling events. The purpose of this testing was to assess the effectiveness of cleaning and further characterize the presence and concentration of target metals in common tenant-occupied areas of the building.

The proposed sampling scheme, the number of samples, the sample distribution and general methodology was developed by GSA and Burns & McDonnell. Specific sample locations were determined during the June and September 2020 sampling events. Settled dust wipe sampling was conducted on October 21, 2020 by Nicholas Turnbeaugh of Burns & McDonnell.

METALS IN SETTLED DUST SAMPLING

Metals in settled dust sampling was conducted in building 104, column B45 at the threshold between the elevator and floor number 2. Dust wipe sampling was conducted in accordance with ASTM Standard E1728: *Standard Practice for Collection of Settled Dust Samples Using Wipe Sampling Methods for Subsequent Lead Determination*. ASTM Standard E1728 is consistent with the methodology described in the Housing and Urban Development Guidelines and 40 CFR 745.63. The Brookhaven National Laboratory's Surface Wipe Sampling Procedure (IH75190) was also used as a guideline.



Diane Czarnecki Facilities Management Division October 29, 2020 Page 2

Dust wipe sampling for the target metal was conducted on a representative surface that has the potential of being disturbed by building occupants. A representative surface area of approximately one square foot (1 SF) was measured and delineated with plastic templates. The dust wipe sample was collected using dedicated dust wipe cloths meeting ASTM E1792 Standard. Each dust wipe cloth was pre-moistened and individually wrapped. Each sample was collected by wiping in a back and forth "S" pattern over a measured sampling area using a clean, disposable glove. Then, the wipe was folded over itself and the area was wiped again in a direction perpendicular to the first wipe orientation. Then, the wipe folded over itself again and the area was wiped around the perimeter. The wipe sample was then placed into a labeled, clean container. The dust wipe sample, as well as a field blank sample were submitted to EMSL Analytical in St. Louis, Missouri for Flame Atomic Absorption (Flame AA) analysis of lead using Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) method SW846-7000B. EMSL is accredited under the American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA) Laboratory Accreditation Program (LAP) identification number AIHA-LAP, LLC ELLAP 102636.

Whereas the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) has not established regulatory limits for surface concentrations of metals, the OSHA Technical Manual Section II: Chapter 2 (III.A) describes a method for calculating "housekeeping" standards, as recommended acceptable surface limits. Brookhaven's IH75190 procedure uses the housekeeping standards to derive a lower, "clean area limit" for non-operational areas that can be accessed or contacted without special training or precautions. Wipe results were compared to the Brookhaven procedure's clean area limits for each metal.

Results of the dust wipe samples collected indicate that the sample collected contained concentrations of lead above laboratory reporting limits. The following table identifies the result of the lead sample that was analyzed. Samples with a "<" sign indicate that the results were below the lab's reportable limit.



Diane Czarnecki Facilities Management Division October 29, 2020 Page 3

Table 1. Summary of Dust Wipe Results

Analyte	Concentration ^(a) (μg/sq. ft) ^(b)	Clean Area Limit (c) µg/sq. ft (b)		
Lead	57	10 ^(d)		

- (a) Samples with a "<" sign indicate that the results were below the reportable limit.
- (b) μ g/sq. ft = micrograms per square foot of surface area.
- (c) Clean Area Limit per Brookhaven IH75190=OSHA Housekeeping Limit [PEL (μg/m³) x 10 m³/100cm²] / 15.
- (d) Lead clean area limit: Brookhaven references EPA/HUD limit for floors, set at 10 μg/sq. ft. as of January 2020.

This sample exceeded the lead clean area limit.

Burns & McDonnell appreciates the opportunity to work with the GSA on this project. Please contact us if you have any questions regarding this report or if we may be of any additional service.

Sincerely,
(b) (6)

Matt Shanahan, CHMM Project Manager

Attachments:

Appendix A - Sample Summary Table

Appendix B – Laboratory Analysis Report



Appendix A Sample Summary Table

Goodfellow Federal Center - Building # 104 - Wipe Sample Data								
Sample Number	Location	Area Description	Analyte Result		Units	Clean Area Limit*		
104-W-01	Elevator B45	Floor between elevator and hallway	Lead	57	μg/ft²	10		
104-W-Blank	Field Blank		Lead	< 10	μg			

^{*} Clean Area Limit per Brookhaven IH75190=OSHA Housekeeping Limit [PEL ($\mu g/m^3$) x 10 $m^3/100cm^2$] / 15. Lead clean area limit: Brookhaven references EPA/HUD limit for floors, set at 10 $\mu g/sq$. ft. as of January 2020.

Indicates results at or above the Clean Area Limit





EMSL Analytical, Inc.

100 Green Park Industrial Court, Saint Louis, MO 63123

(314) 577-0150 / (314) 776-3313

http://www.EMSL.com saintlouislab@emsl.com

Matthew Shanahan Burns & McDonnell 9400 Ward Parkway Kansas City, MO 64114

(816) 333-9400 Phone: Fax: (816) 822-3028

10/21/2020 11:45 AM

EMSL Order:

CustomerID:

CustomerPO:

ProjectID:

392009820

BURN50

Received: Collected:

Project: 121244 / GFC

Test Report: Lead in Dust by Flame AAS (SW 846 3050B/7000B)*

Client SampleDescription	Collected	Analyzed	Area Sampled	RDL	Lead Concentration		
104-W-1 392 <i>00</i> 982 <i>0-00</i> 01		10/22/2020	144 in²	10 μg/ft²	57 μg/ft²		
104-W-Blank 392 <i>009</i> 82 <i>0-00</i> 02		10/22/2020	144 in²	10 μg/ft²	<10 µg/ft²		

(b) (6)

Jeff Siria, Laboratory Manager or other approved signatory

EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Analysis following Lead in Dust by EMSL SOP/Determination of Environmental Lead by FLAA. Reporting limit is 10 ug/wipe. Ug/wipe = ug/tt2 x area sampled in ft2. Unless noted, results in this report are not blank corrected. The lab is not responsible for data reported in ug/ft2 which is dependent upon the area provided by non-lab pesonnel. "<" (less than) result signifies that the analyte was not detected at or above the reporting limit. Measurement of uncertainty is available upon request. Definitions of modifications are available upon request. Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Saint Louis, MO AlHA-LAP, LLC--ELLAP Accredited #102636

Initial report from 10/22/2020 12:02:50



Lead (Pb) Chain of Custody EMSL Order ID (Leb Use Only):

PHONE: () FAX: ()

39200 9820

Company: Burns & Mc Donnell .			EMSL-Bill to: Same Different If Bill to is Different note instructions in Comments**					
Street: 9400 Ward PKW	Third Party Billing requires written authorization from third party							
City: Kansas City State/Province: MO			Zip/Postal Code: 64111 Country: US/F					
Report To (Name): Natt Shanahan			Telephone #: 816 - 333 - 9400					
Email Address: Ph Shanahan & burnsmed.com								
Project Name/Number: 2 12 44	7 GFC I	Please Pi	rovide Results:	☐ Fax	₩ Em	8 		
U.S. State Samples Taken:		CT Samples: Commercial/Taxable Residential/Tax Exempt						
	urnaround Time (TAT)							
	Hour 48 Hour	2 Hour 🔲 96 Hour 🔲			Week 2 Week			
	d in accordance with EMSL'	s Terms er			ce Guid	e	Charle	
Matrix	Method		instrume		Kep	orting Limit	Check	
Chips 🗌 % by wt. 🗌 mg/cm³ 🗌 ppm (mg/kg)	SW846-7000B		Flame Atomic Absorption		0.01%			
Air	NIOSH 7082		Flame Atomic Absorption		4 µg/filter			
:	NIOSH 7105		Graphite Furnace AA		0.03 µg/filter 0.5 µg/filter		╼╌┾╡╼╌┧	
	NIOSH 7300M/NIOSH	7303	ICP-OES		$\overline{}$			
Wipe* ASTM Non ASTM	SW846-7000B		Flame Atomic Ab	sorption) μg/wipe		
"if no box checked, non-ASTM Wipe	SW846-6010B or C		ICP-OES			0 µg/wipe		
TCLP	SW846-1311/7000B/SM		Flame Atomic Ab			mg/L (ppm)		
	SW846-1311/SW846-601		ICP-OES		mg/L (ppm)	╼╬╼┪		
SPLP	SW846-1312/7000B/SM				mg/L (ppm) mg/L (ppm)	 		
	SW846-1312/SW846-601				-	ng/kg (ppm)		
TTLC	22 CCR App. II, 7000B/ 22 CCR App. II, SWB46-601		·			ng/kg (ppm)	┍	
	22 CCR App. II, 7000B/			0.4 mg/L (ppm)				
STLC	22 CCR App. II, SW846-601					0.1 mg/L (ppm)		
Soll	SW846-7000B			40 mg/kg (ppm)				
	SW846-6010B pt C		ICP-OES		2 mg/kg (ppm)			
Management	SM3111B/SW846-7000B		Flame Atomic Absorption		0.4 mg/L (ppm)			
Wastewater Unpreserved ☐ Preserved with HNO₃ pH < 2 ☐	EPA 200.9		Graphite Furnace AA		0.003 mg/L (ppm)		$\leftarrow \downarrow \downarrow \rightarrow \downarrow$	
7 reserved with three 3 pt 1 2 B	EPA 200.7		ICP-OES		0.020 mg/L (ppm)		┞┈╞ ╬╼┤	
Drinking Water Unpreserved	EPA 200.8		ICP-MS		0.001 mg/L (ppm) 0.003 mg/L (ppm)		╂╾┾┽╾┤	
Preserved with HNO₃ pH < 2 □	EPA 200.9 EPA 200.5		Graphite Furnace AA ICP-OES		0.003 mg/L (ppm)		┠┈╞╡╼ ┤	
	40 CFR Part 50		ICP-OES		2 µg/filter			
TSP/SPM Fliter	40 CFR Part 50		Graphite Furnace AA		3.6 µg/filter			
Other:								
Name of Sampler: Micholas	Turnbeaugh	Signal	ure of Samples	(b) (6))			
Sample # Location			Volume/Area			Date/Time	Sampled	
104-W-1 B45 elevator		I sq. ft				8:0	a AM	
104-W-Blank Field	blank		1 Sq. f+	-		8:1	7 AM	
Client Sample #s - Total # of Samples:								
Relinquished (Client): (b) (6) Date: 10/21/20 Time:								
			1-21-20			11:45	wli	
Received (Lab):	Date:	1 /6	/ 01 - 0	Time:		1// /-		
Connients:					[,	